

The Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) Guidance and Glossary explain how to complete this form.

Please complete the form below. Where the term 'item' is used in this document it includes policy, service, process, function, project and strategy.

Section 1: Screening				
Part A: Item Details				
I Department/School/Institute		Institution-wid	e	
2 What is the type of the item assessment?	undergoing	Policy 🔀	Procedure X Function	Service Other (Specify)
3 Name of item		Early Retireme Scheme 2010	ent and Voluntar	y Severence
4 Reference Code (if any)		None		
5 Is the item existing, new or a	an amendment?	Existing [Amendment	☐ New ⊠
6 Aims and purpose of item: In summary it is a specific early organisation's preparation for m 2012. The government and HEFCE colless benign in financial terms that will continue to face severe con Government sources.	ore restricted re nfirm that we are n has been the ca	sources in finar e moving into a ase for the past	period for highe decade, Queen	r education much Mary is facing and
Reductions in staffing will be new expenditure during the next few reduction and is introducing an Staff who apply to leave Queen	y years. The Colle Early Retirement Mary's employme	ege wishes to c /Voluntary Seve	erance Scheme.	approach to stan
All eligible staff have the right to Retirement and Voluntary Seve granted.	apply to leave tl	he College's en nere is no auto	nployment under matic right for ar	the Early n application to be
			THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY	
Part B: Screening				
I Will the item impact directl	y or indirectly on			
Students	Staff 🔀	Visitors	Suppl	iers [_]



Part B: Screening	
Organisational Partners	Others (please specify)
The scheme is available only to	
group – Age, Disability, Ge The design of the scheme alloy	ve an adverse effect, directly or indirectly on members of an equality nder, Race, Religion/Belief, Sexual Orientation? (please give details) vs equal access to persons of all groups. The College takes the view tion for early retirement and voluntary severence is not a detriment
If applications from under-repr in the workforce, the outcome	resented groups were accepted out of proportion to their frequency e could be an undesirable change to the workforce composition.
whole workforce, but those w combination thereof.	relevant comparison group for these purposes may not be the orking in a particular service, subject, sector or grade or some
It is anticipated that a high pro lawful and inherent within pen	portion of applicants will be aged over 50 years of age. This is sion scheme design.
already exist? (please give of the scheme and st	e impact on the balance of staff groups in the College, this is not an eps are not being taken to this effect. If for example, an overdefined to a greater degree than on the
4 Should a full impact assessi	ment be carried out? YES 🔀 NO 🗌
the design. In essence, the schecke that within a given part and similarly the acceptance o applying be small, analysis of the	answer to the above inly merited on the processes and outcomes of policy rather than neme design has neutral impact but measures should be taken to of the workforce applications are proportionate to its compsition f applications is also proportionate. Should the numbers of staff his kind will not be merited, as statistical inferences may not be made
on a valid basis.	



Pa	art C: Details of	Assessor Completing	Form		
I	Name	Guy Halliwelll	2	Phone number	0207 822 3673
3	e-mail address	g.halliwell@qmul.ac.ul	<	Semanting body control of the semanting	
4	Signature	M.	5	Date of signature	12/3/10
0	Name of Head of Sanne Byrne	of Department	7	Signature of Head of Department	susance by me

Next Step:

- (i) If you need to carry out a full impact assessment, please read Section 2 of the guidance (page 7) and complete Section 2 of this form below.
- (ii) If you do not need to carry out a full impact assessment:
- Are there any further steps you can take to promote equal opportunities and eliminate discrimination?
- Arrange for the proper approval authority to "sign-off" a statement (usually Head of Department or Institute), supported by the evidence of this screening EIA that the policy isn't "relevant to Equality & Diversity" or does not have any negative impacts
- Set a review date in three years' time.
- File the screening report and associated documentation and email a copy to the College's Diversity Specialist, Bertille Calinaud at b.calinaud@qmul.ac.uk



Section 2: Full Equalities Impact Assessment

Full EIA

What data has been examined in order to form a judgement about the impact of the item on equalities groups? Are there any gaps in the available data?

None. It is not appropriate at this stage. The College already publishes data sets in regard to staff age, race, religious belief, disability and nationality. The College has no mechanism for gathering information on sexual orientation.

Equality interest groups are not represented in the same proportions throughout the tiers and sectors of the College. It is not appropriate to compare staff applications and acceptance under ERVS against the whole College workforce. It may be more relevant to consider sub sets of the Colleg workforce.

The requirement to create a scheme that is modelled on the Statutury scheme or face the risk of age-related discrimination claims restricts the freedom of the College to select differential incentitives that would have benign outcomes on equality.

2 What methods of consultation/involvement have been employed to ensure full information sharing and participation?

The scheme design is subject to a 30 day consultation period with recognised trades unions. Senior members of council involved in the Remuneration Committee have been consulted on aspects of scheme design. These external lay members of Council ensure probity and serve the public interest.

3 What steps were taken to ensure that involvement in the engagement process was farreaching?

The critical issue on scheme design is the choice of multiplier. This is a matter to be determined in reference to resources rather than equality interest groups.

4 What are the results of the consultation/involvement? How are these fed back into the process?

The scheme is currently under consultation. There is no intention to take the scheme to equality interest groups. It is not approriate to do so.

5 Explain the likely differential impact (whether intended or unintended, positive or negative) of the item on individual service users.

There is no likely differential impact whatsoever in regard to most strands of equality.

It is a lawful early retirement scheme. Staff who are older will be eligible to gain greater benefits under the scheme than their younger counterparts. It is anticipated that they will be over-represented amongst those requesting and being given the opportunity to leave the College's employment.

- 6 Is the item directly or indirectly discriminatory? It is not unlawfully discriminatory in any regard.
- 7 Are there any barriers that may inhibit access to the service or benefits of the item? No



Full EIA

- 8 Explain how the item is intended to increase equality of opportunity. It is not an intention or objective of the scheme. The College does not believe that its duty to promote race, gender or disability equality extends to encouraging over-represented groups to take early retirement or conversely encouraging under-represented groups to remain in College employment.
- 9 Explain how the item is likely to promote good relations between different groups. It is designed to be neutral
- 10 How will the implementation of the item be monitored and by whom? The Head of Operations in HR shall arrange to conduct monitoring exercises at the closure of applications 31st 2010 July and on completion of the exercise.
- If What can be done to improve the item in order to reduce or remove any adverse impact or effects identified?

Monitoring of outcomes of process and if a statistically relevant over-representation of staff who are members of under-represented groups apply or are accepted consider whether any fault in process or decision.

12 Complete Action Plan Form

Next Steps

- Arrange for the proper approval authority to "sign-off" the report.
- File the report and associated documentation and email a copy to the College's Diversity Specialist, Bertille Calinaud, at <u>b.calinaud@qmul.ac.uk</u>

Equalities Impact Assessment Action Plan

) }*
University of London	Queen Mary	

Impact Identified	Action Identified	Timescale	Resources	Performance	e	Review Date
			Required	Indicators		
Potential for over-	Monitor against	End July 2010	College workforce	If numbers are		31 July 2010
representation of	relevant comparison		data set 2008-2009	sufficient for analysis	ılysis	
minority groups in	group.			that applications are	are	
application			Database of	proportion to the		
	Age & sexual		applications including	representation of	,	
	orientation analysis		sensitive information	employees in the		
	not undertaken			relevant sub-section of	ion of	
				the workforce.		
	Monitor against	End October 2010	College workforce	If numbers are		End October 2010
Potential for over-	relevant comparison		data set 2008-2009	sufficient for analysis	llysis	
representation of	group			that applications		
minority groups in	:		Database of	accepted are		
acceptance	Age & sexual		applications accepted	proportion to the		
	orientation analysis		including sensitive	representation of		
	not undertaken		information	employees in the		
	A contract of the contract of			relevant sub-section of	tion of	
				the workforce.		

Action Equalities Impact Assessment

Equalities In	Equalities Impact Assessment			Queen Mary University of London
Action Plan				
Details of Assessor	Details of Assessor Completing Form			
Name	G. Halliwell	2 Phone number	0207 882 3673	
3 e-mail address	g.halliwell@qmul.ac.uk			d skensskikovske se se se
4 Signature	The state of the s	5 Date of signature	12 March 2010	entiferant rentificed on